

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/072,126	Applicant(s) BERKOWITZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Thang H Ho	Art Unit 2188	

All Participants:

(1) Thang H Ho.

(2) David D. Wier (Reg. No. 48,229).
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

15-22 and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



(Examiner/SPB Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Wier was advised that claims of the present invention are anticipated by Microsoft, Developing Hardware Snapshot Providers for Whistler White Paper, © Microsoft Corporation 2000 (reference submitted by Applicant; IDS received on May 24, 2004). Mr. Wier indicated that the IDS was inadvertently submitted as prior art and will submit a 132 affidavit to correct the mistake..

BEST AVAILABLE COPY